

KCL-TH-99-17
hep-th/9905029
5 May 1999

D-Branes in the Green-Schwarz Formalism

N.D. Lambert
and
P.C. West[★]

*Department of Mathematics
King's College, London
England
WC2R 2LS*

ABSTRACT

We give a basic account of supersymmetric open strings and D-branes using the Green-Schwarz formalism, obtaining a manifestly spacetime supersymmetric description of their spectrum. In addition we discuss a mechanism whereby some of the D-brane states are projected out and which can lead to chiral quantum field theories on the brane.

★ lambert, pwest@mth.kcl.ac.uk

In the past four years it has become apparent that D-branes are of central importance in our understanding of string theory [1]. An important feature of D-branes is that they give rise to non-Abelian vector multiplets in a variety of dimensions less than ten. This has led to a new era in the study of Yang-Mills theories with many results. A key step in these studies is to determine the spectrum of an intersecting brane configuration from the various D-branes within it. In this paper we would like to provide a study of D-branes in a manifestly spacetime supersymmetric form, using the Green-Schwarz formalism [2]. While there have been detailed studies focusing on spacetime supersymmetry [3], to the best of our knowledge, a basic treatment of open strings with Dirichlet boundary conditions using the Green-Schwarz formalism has not appeared (see [4] for a similar discussion of open supermembranes). This provides a simple and elegant description of the spectrum which we hope will be useful when studying brane configurations and quantum field theories, particularly when studying more phenomenological applications. We also note that a D-brane can be defined as an open string that preserves a given spacetime supersymmetry. It then follows that its states only propagate in $p+1$ dimensions planes where the string end points must lie. We will also describe a mechanism whereby some states can be projected out and which can lead to chiral fermions.

We now review the essential aspects of the Green-Schwarz formulation of the superstring that we will require [2]. This consists of the fields $X^\mu(\tau, \sigma); \mu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$ and the Grassmann odd fields $\theta^i(\tau, \sigma)$ which is a spacetime spinor with an internal index $i = 1, 2$. The fields θ^i also carry a spinor index that we suppress and which runs over the dimension of the Clifford algebra. The dimension of this algebra varies depending on the spacetime dimension D and the type of spinor i.e. Majorana or Majorana-Weyl. We use the mostly plus signature and define the Majorana conjugate of a spinor by $\bar{\theta} = \theta^T C$. Here C is the charge conjugation matrix defined through the relation $(\gamma^\mu)^T = -C\gamma^\mu C^{-1}$ and satisfies $C^T = -\eta C$ with $\eta = +1$ for $D = 2, 4 \bmod 8$ or $\eta = -1$ for $D = 0, 6 \bmod 8$. We will mainly be interested in ten dimensions where the spinors are Majorana-Weyl and with either the same chirality (IIB) or opposite chirality (IIA).

The string has the action

$$S = S_1 + S_2 . \tag{1}$$

Here the first term is given by

$$S_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_\alpha^\mu \Pi_\beta^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} ,$$

where

$$\Pi_\alpha^\mu = \partial_\alpha X^\mu - i\bar{\theta}^j \gamma^\mu \partial_\alpha \theta^j ,$$

and the second term has the form

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \{ -i\partial_\alpha X^\mu (\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\nu \partial_\beta \theta^1 - \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\nu \partial_\beta \theta^2) + \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\alpha \theta^1 \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\nu \partial_\beta \theta^2 \} \eta_{\mu\nu} .$$

The action is invariant under the spacetime supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X^\mu &= i\bar{\epsilon}^j \gamma^\mu \theta^j , \\ \delta \theta^i &= \epsilon^i , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\epsilon^i, i = 1, 2$ is a constant spinor of the same chirality as θ^i . It is also invariant under the $\kappa^{\alpha i}$ -transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta X^\mu &= i\bar{\theta}^j \gamma^\mu \delta \theta^j , \\ \delta \theta^i &= 2i\gamma^\mu \Pi_{\mu\alpha} \kappa^{i\alpha} . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The spinorial parameter $\kappa^{\alpha i}$ is subject to the projection conditions

$$\kappa^{1\alpha} = P_{-\beta}^\alpha \kappa^{1\beta} \quad \kappa^{2\alpha} = P_{+\beta}^\alpha \kappa^{2\beta} ,$$

where

$$P_{\pm\beta}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_\beta^\alpha \pm \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\delta} g_{\delta\beta}}{\sqrt{-g}}) .$$

The equations of motion for the action are easily found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\alpha \Pi_\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} \Pi_\gamma \Pi_\delta &= 0 , \\ \gamma^\mu \Pi_{\mu\alpha} P_{-\beta}^\alpha g^{\beta\delta} \partial_\delta \theta^1 &= 0, \quad \gamma^\mu \Pi_{\mu\alpha} P_{+\beta}^\alpha g^{\beta\delta} \partial_\delta \theta^2 = 0 , \\ \partial_\alpha \{ \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta X^\mu - 2i P_{-\beta}^\alpha g^{\beta\delta} \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\delta \theta^1 - 2i P_{+\beta}^\alpha g^{\beta\delta} \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\delta \theta^2 \} &= 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In deriving the above equations of motion we did not discuss the boundary terms that arise when we vary the action (1). Due to locality we must adopt

boundary conditions such that the boundary terms vanish separately at each end point. This leads to the equation

$$\int d\tau \left(\delta X^\mu \frac{\delta S}{\delta(\partial_\sigma X^\mu)} + \delta\theta^i \frac{\delta S}{\delta(\partial_\sigma \theta^i)} \right) = 0, \quad (5)$$

at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$. Choosing the gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}e^\phi$ we find that the above boundary term vanishes if

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta X^\mu (\Pi_{\sigma\mu} + i(\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma_\mu \partial_\sigma \theta^1 - \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma_\mu \partial_\sigma \theta^2)) + i\Pi_{1\mu} (\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^1 + \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^2) \\ & - i\partial_\tau X^\mu (\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma_\mu \delta\theta^1 - \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma_\mu \delta\theta^2) + \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\tau \theta^1 \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\nu \delta\theta^2 - \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^1 \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma_\mu \partial_\tau \theta^2 \\ & = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

at the boundaries $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$.

Analysing the first term in (5) shows that ends of the string obey Neumann (N) or Dirichlet (D) boundary conditions [5]. Let us denote the directions that obey N and D boundary conditions at $\sigma = 0$ by N_0 and D_0 respectively and similarly at the other end at $\sigma = \pi$ by N_π and D_π . The D-brane the string is attached to at $\sigma = 0$ then has its longitudinal directions in $\mu \in N_0$. Since δX^μ vanishes in the directions that have D boundary conditions, the boundary terms containing δX^μ will vanish if at $\sigma = 0$ if

$$(\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\tau \theta^1 - \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\tau \theta^2) + (\bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\sigma \theta^1 + \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\sigma \theta^2) = 0, \quad \mu \in N_0,$$

with a similar condition at the other end of the string at $\sigma = \pi$. Below we will focus on the conditions at $\sigma = 0$, but those for $\sigma = \pi$ are essentially identical.

Examining the $\delta\theta^i$ variations we find that at $\sigma = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^1 + \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^2 &= 0, \quad \mu \in D_0, \\ \bar{\theta}^1 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^1 - \bar{\theta}^2 \gamma^\mu \delta\theta^2 &= 0, \quad \mu \in N_0. \end{aligned}$$

The difference in sign corresponds to fact that $\partial_\sigma X^\mu$ and $\partial_\tau X^\mu$ are undetermined in the D and N directions respectively. The higher order terms in $(\theta)^3$ must vanish separately. We must place a condition on θ^i of the form $\theta^2 = P_0 \theta^1$. Since θ^1 and

θ^2 are Majorana spinors this implies that $\bar{\theta}^2 = \bar{\theta}^1 \hat{P}_0$ where $\hat{P}_0 = C^{-1} P_0^T C$. Since $\delta\theta^i$ satisfies the same conditions as θ equation (3.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^\mu + \hat{P}_0 \gamma^\mu P_0 &= 0, \quad \mu \in D_0, \\ \gamma^\mu - \hat{P}_0 \gamma^\mu P_0 &= 0, \quad \mu \in N_0,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

Without loss of generality we can choose $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p\}$ for one D-brane. Taking $P_0 = \gamma^{01\dots p}$ and using the properties $\hat{P}_0 = C^{-1} P_0^T C = (-1)^p P_0^{-1}$ and $P_0^{-1} = -(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p+1)} P_0$, we find that the boundary conditions (7) are satisfied. We must now return to the δX^μ terms in (6). Clearly these vanish for Dirichlet boundary conditions. For Neumann boundary conditions we require that $\partial_\sigma \theta^2 = -P_0 \partial_\sigma \theta^1$ and $\partial_\sigma \theta^2 = -P_\pi \partial_\sigma \theta^1$ at the two end points $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$ respectively. However this follows from the observation that the equations of motion (4) for θ^1 and θ^2 are related by a reflection $\sigma \leftrightarrow -\sigma$, (this is easily seen in the conformal gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = e^\phi \eta_{\alpha\beta}$). It is now possible to see that all terms in (6) vanish, including the terms cubic in θ .

In IIA theory string theory, we choose θ^1 and θ^2 to have opposite chiralities. Since $P_0 \gamma^{11} = (-1)^{(p+1)(D-p-1)} \gamma^{11} P_0$ we find that the condition $\theta^1 = P_0 \theta^2$ requires D-branes to have even p . In type IIB string theory on the other hand, θ^1 and θ^2 have the same chirality and we therefore find D-branes have odd p . To summarise, if we have an open string which goes from one D-brane to another or from one D-brane to itself the θ^i are subject to the constraints

$$\theta^2 = P_0 \theta^1, \quad \theta^2 = P_\pi \theta^1,$$

at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$ respectively. In general if the D-brane lies in some other directions then P_0 is given by the product of all the γ -matrices in the longitudinal directions of the D-brane. The matrix P_π is formed in the same way.

Next we must consider the effect of the boundary conditions (7), which will break some of the supersymmetries. Clearly the only supersymmetry variations $\delta\theta^i$ that preserve the boundary conditions on θ^i are those for which

$$\epsilon^2 = P_0 \epsilon^1, \quad \epsilon^1 = P_0^{-1} P_\pi \epsilon^1.\tag{8}$$

Now consider the X^μ boundary conditions at $\sigma = 0$. One finds

$$\begin{aligned}\delta X^\mu|_{\sigma=0} &= i\bar{\epsilon}^1 \left[\gamma^\mu + \hat{P}_0 \gamma^\mu P_0 \right] \theta^1|_{\sigma=0}, \\ \delta \partial_\sigma X^\mu|_{\sigma=0} &= i\bar{\epsilon}^1 \left[\gamma^\mu - \hat{P}_0 \gamma^\mu P_0 \right] \partial_\sigma \theta^1|_{\sigma=0}.\end{aligned}$$

Demanding that $\delta X^\mu = 0$ for $\mu \in D_0$ and $\delta \partial_\sigma X^\mu = 0$ for $\mu \in N_0$ leads to (7). Analogous conditions arise for $\sigma = \pi$. Hence we learn that the boundary conditions are compatible with supersymmetry

In fact we see that the boundary conditions for the scalars are determined by the supersymmetry projectors (8). For example one can readily see that if $P_0 = \gamma^{012\dots p}$ then to preserve supersymmetry we must impose Neumann boundary conditions on X^μ for $\mu = 0, \dots, p$ and Dirichlet boundary conditions for $\mu = p + 1, \dots, 9$. Thus by requiring a that a particular spacetime supersymmetry (8) is preserved we learn that the $\sigma = 0$ end point of the string is fixed to lie on a surface parallel to the $(x^0, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^p)$ plane. Similarly one can determine on which plane the $\sigma = \pi$ end point of the open string must end from a knowledge of P_π . Therefore we could define a D-brane as an open string in type II string theory that preserves the spacetime supersymmetries (8). It then follows as a corollary that a Dp -brane with sixteen supersymmetries (i.e. with $P_0 = P_\pi$) is p -dimensional spacelike plane on which open strings can end [5].

There is another form of boundary condition that we want to consider here. Namely if we suspend a D-brane configuration between two NS-Fivebranes. This was first considered in [6] where D-threebranes were placed between NS-fivebranes. This has the effect of dimensionally reducing the worldvolume of the intersection. In addition boundary conditions must be imposed where the D-branes meet the NS-fivebranes and these can remove massless modes [6].

To be concrete consider two NS-fivebranes parallel to the $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5)$ plane which have been introduced into a brane configuration, resulting in a particular direction, say x^6 , being reduced to an interval. The presence of the NS-fivebranes breaks half of the remaining supersymmetries, namely those for which

$$\epsilon^1 = \gamma^{012345} \epsilon \equiv P_{NS} \epsilon^1 . \quad (9)$$

We want to find out which string states are compatible with the remaining supersymmetries. Now the end points of the string are constrained to lie on an interval for all time. Since their motion is determined from the Green-Schwarz action it follows that they can not carry any momentum along x^6 . Therefore the string end points must be fixed at a definite value of x^6 . Preserving supersymmetry requires that

$$\delta X^6 |_{\sigma=0} = \epsilon^1 [\gamma^6 + \hat{P}_0 \gamma^6 P_0] \theta^1 = 0 , \quad (10)$$

at $\sigma = 0$. There is an analogous condition at $\sigma = \pi$ but since $P_0 \theta^1 = P_\pi \theta^1$ and $P_0 \epsilon^1 = P_\pi \epsilon^1$ this yields an identical constraint. One can see that (10) vanishes

automatically if X^6 has DD, DN or ND boundary conditions. However, if the boundary conditions for X^6 are NN then we find that to preserve the spacetime supersymmetry we must have

$$\bar{\epsilon}^1 \gamma^6 \theta^1|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = 0 , \quad (11)$$

for all ϵ^1 such that $P_{NS}\epsilon^1 = P_0^{-1}P_\pi\epsilon^1 = \epsilon^1$. Next we write $\epsilon^1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + P_0^{-1}P_\pi)(1 + P_{NS})\eta$ for an arbitrary η and substitute this for ϵ^1 in (11). Since (11) is now true for all η we find that the boundary conditions introduced by this dimensional reduction must project out spinors

$$(1 + P_\pi^{-1}P_0)(1 - P_{NS})\theta^1|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = 0 .$$

If we further assume, as we will in what follows, that P_π , P_0 and P_{NS} all commute then this simply becomes,

$$(1 - P_{NS})\theta^1|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = 0 , \quad (12)$$

where we have used the fact that $P_\pi^{-1}P_0\theta^1 = \theta^1$ at $\sigma = 0, \pi$. There are other ways to compactify a brane configuration which reduce the massless spectrum. For example one could place the configuration between two D-fivebranes [6] or compactify x^6 on the orbifold $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2$. The analysis of these situations follows in an analogous manner.

Our final step in analysing the physical spectrum of states is to go to the light cone gauge. In this case the equations of motion simplify considerably. Since the theory is invariant under worldsheet reparameterisations we choose the conformal gauge $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}e^\phi$. We may also use the residual conformal symmetry to choose

$$X^+ \equiv X^0 + X^1 = x^+ + 2\alpha' p^+ \tau ,$$

where the normalisation of p^+ is appropriate for an open string. Using the κ -symmetry of equation (3) we may make one further gauge choice $\gamma^{01}\theta^i = \theta^i$ and reduce our spinor to

$$S^i = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^{01})\theta^i .$$

Note that the light cone projector involves a choice of direction (here we assume it is x^1) and sign (here we assumed a plus sign). However these can not be chosen

arbitrarily since γ^{01} must commute with the other projectors $P^{-1}P_\pi$ and P_{NS} . In addition, in some two-dimensional cases these projectors may imply $\gamma^{01}\theta^i = \pm\theta^i$. This means that the θ^i are chiral fermions on the worldsheet. However we must chose the light cone projector to act non-trivially, otherwise the unphysical field components will not be projected out. Thus in these cases we must chose the light cone condition $\gamma^{01}\theta^i = \mp\theta^i$. We will assume these conditions are satisfied in what follows.

With these choices, the first of the equations (4) can be used to solve for X^- and P^- . The equations of motion for the remaining variables take on the very simple form

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_\sigma^2 - \partial_\tau^2)X^\mu &= 0 , \\ (\partial_\sigma + \partial_\tau)\theta^1 &= 0 , \\ (\partial_\sigma - \partial_\tau)\theta^2 &= 0 ,\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where here, and for the rest of this paper, $\mu \neq 0, 1$. Let us first solve for the scalars fields X^μ . There are four possible combinations of boundary conditions for an open string: NN, DD, ND and DN whose expansions are

$$\begin{aligned}X^\mu &= x^\mu + 2\alpha'\alpha_0^\mu\tau + i\sqrt{\alpha'}\sum_n\frac{\alpha_n^\mu}{n}e^{-in\tau}\cos n\sigma , \\ X^\mu &= x^\mu + (y^\mu - x^\mu)\frac{\sigma}{\pi} + \sqrt{\alpha'}\sum_n\frac{\alpha_n^\mu}{n}e^{-in\tau}\sin n\sigma , \\ X^\mu &= y^\mu + i\sqrt{\alpha'}\sum_r\frac{\alpha_r^\mu}{r}e^{-in\tau}\cos r\sigma , \\ X^\mu &= x^\mu + \sqrt{\alpha'}\sum_r\frac{\alpha_r^\mu}{r}e^{-in\tau}\sin r\sigma ,\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

respectively. In (14) and what follows $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Note that there is only momentum in directions with NN boundary conditions.

Now we consider the Spinor fields S^i which, as a result of (13) take the form

$$\begin{aligned}S^1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_n S_n^1 e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_r S_r^1 e^{-in(\tau-\sigma)} , \\ S^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_n S_n^2 e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_r S_r^2 e^{-in(\tau+\sigma)} .\end{aligned}$$

Next we must impose the boundary conditions $S^2 = P_0 S^1$ at $\sigma = 0$ and $S^2 = P_\pi S^1$

at $\sigma = \pi$. This leads to

$$\left. \begin{matrix} S_n^2 = P_0 S_n^1 \\ S_r^2 = P_0 S_r^1 \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ at } \sigma = 0, \quad \left. \begin{matrix} S_n^2 = P_\pi S_n^1 \\ S_r^2 = -P_\pi S_r^1 \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ at } \sigma = \pi.$$

Clearly two of these equations simply determine S_n^2 and S_r^2 in terms of S_n^1 and S_r^1 . However the other two equations lead to the constraints

$$S_n^1 = P_0^{-1} P_\pi S_n^1, \quad S_r^1 = -P_0^{-1} P_\pi S_r^1. \quad (15)$$

In the quantum theory X^μ and S^1 are promoted to operators which obey the standard commutation and anti-commutations relations respectively. We introduce a vacuum state $|0\rangle$ which is annihilated by all the modes in X^μ and S^1 with positive frequency; $n, r > 0$. Due to normal ordering ambiguities the zero point energy (i.e. the rest mass squared of $|0\rangle$) is given by the “intercept” $a = -(N(\alpha_n) - N(S_n^1))/24 + (N(\alpha_r) - N(S_r^1))/48$, where, for example, $N(\alpha_n)$ counts the number of bosonic integer moded oscillators [7]. The action of a non-negatively moded oscillator on the ground state then raises the mass level by n or r . Thus the ground state is degenerate as S_0^1 and α_0^μ both map $|0\rangle$ to itself. In fact the action of α_0^μ simply generates spacetime momentum so we will ignore it here.

Let us suppose that we have N S_0^{1A} oscillators $A = 1, \dots, N$ (and we assume that N is even). On behalf of the anti-commutation relation $\{S_0^{1A}, S_0^{1B}\} = \delta^{AB}$, the S_0^{1A} form a Clifford algebra in N -dimensions acting on the ground state. There is a unique non-trivial representation of this algebra which can be constructed as follows. We start by defining $N/2$ helicity raising and $N/2$ helicity lowering operators in the usual manor. Then, from the lowest helicity component $|0 : l\rangle$ of $|0\rangle$ (which is annihilated by all the lowering operators), we obtain $2^{N/2}$ independent states by acting with the $N/2$ distinct raising operators. These create equal numbers of bosonic and fermionic states which fill out a supersymmetric multiplet. Note that a spacetime supersymmetry algebra with $2N$ charges splits into N “null” supersymmetries with $\{Q, Q\} = 0$ and N “physical” supersymmetries with $\{Q, Q\} = 1$. The “null” supersymmetries act trivially on the spectrum and obey $\gamma^{01}Q = -Q$ if we choose spacelike momentum in the x^1 direction. The “physical” supersymmetries are the ones used above to create the non-trivial representation of a Clifford algebra in N dimensions. In general the states obtained

by this method are not CPT self-conjugate and so, according to the CPT theorem, we must also include their CPT conjugates to obtain a physically acceptable representation. However, for the special maximal case of sixteen supersymmetries, the vector multiplet is CPT self-conjugate.

We must also decide what we mean by the constraint (12) in the quantum theory. If we assume that (12) acts directly on the fields S^1 then we run into severe problems. For in this case imposing (12) reduces the number of independent spinors S^1 and therefore changes the intercept. The resulting spectrum would then have different masses (quite possibly tachyonic) and could not be viewed as a subset of the original multiplet. Thus we must interpret (12) as applying to the action of S^1 on the ground state $|0\rangle$. In particular we find for the zero modes that

$$(1 - P_{NS})S_0^1|0\rangle = 0. \quad (16)$$

In summary the spinors S_n^2 and S_r^2 have been eliminated in terms of S_n^1 and S_r^1 which are subject to (15). If we include NS-fivebranes then the spectrum of massless states is also subjected to the constraint (16). Note that, since $(P_0^{-1}P_\pi)^2 = 1$ and $\text{Tr}(P_0^{-1}P_\pi) = 0$ unless $P_0^{-1}P_\pi$ is a multiple of the identity, there are only three relevant cases for $P_0^{-1}P_\pi$: (1) all eight eigenvalues equal one, (2) all eight eigenvalues equal minus one, (3) four eigenvalues are plus one and four eigenvalues are minus one. Let us consider in detail these three cases.

First we consider case (1), corresponding to parallel Dp -branes with $P_0 = P_\pi$. We see immediately that $S_r^1 = S_r^2 = 0$ and S_n^1 is unconstrained. This leaves us with eight independent integer moded spinors and sixteen spacetime supersymmetries. The fields X^μ have either DD or NN boundary conditions and hence there are also eight independent integer moded scalars. This leads to a Clifford algebra in eight dimensions represented by a sixteen-dimensional massless ground state. Finally, if there are N Dp -branes, then we assign labels to the string end points to indicate which Dp -brane they lie on. The states of the quantised string then come in an adjoint representation of $U(N)$ and, since it is a sixteen-dimensional, it follows that the ground state is a maximal super-Yang-Mills vector multiplet in $p+1$ dimensions [8].

If we suspend these D-branes between two NS-fivebranes then we must include the projection (16). This removes half of the sixteen spacetime supersymmetries and leaves only four independent S_0^{1A} acting on the ground state. Applying the remaining raising operators to $|0 : l\rangle$ produces a vector multiplet with eight

supersymmetries. In fact since there are now only four integer moded spinors, and two helicity raising operators, we only obtain states with helicities $-1, -1/2, 0$. However the CPT theorem asserts that we must add in the positive helicity states to obtain a complete vector multiplet with eight supersymmetries. This is the situation in [6] for D-threebranes suspended between two NS-fivebranes.

Next we consider case (2), corresponding to an open string with one end on a Dp -brane and the other on an anti- Dp -brane. Here $P_0 = -P_\pi$ and no spacetime supersymmetries are preserved. The corresponding X^μ fields are the same as the $P_0 = P_\pi$ case above. Therefore there are eight integer moded scalars but now there are eight half-integer moded fermions. The ground state is a Clifford algebra in zero dimensions and is one-dimensional. However the intercept is $-1/2$ so the ground state is a tachyon [9].

Finally we consider case (3). First we consider a degenerate situation where $P_0^{-1}P_\pi = -\gamma^{23456789}$. Here $P_0^{-1}P_\pi S^1 = -S^1$ and hence there are no S_n^1 and eight S_r^1 . This corresponds to eight X^μ with DN or ND boundary conditions (i.e. an open string stretched between a Dp -brane and a $D(p+8)$ -brane). Thus the ground state is massless, forms a one-dimensional Clifford algebra in zero dimensions and is a singlet under spacetime supersymmetry [10].

In the general situation of case (3) there are four integer moded fermions S_n^1 and four half-integer moded fermions S_r^1 . In addition there are four X^μ with either DD or NN boundary conditions and four X^μ with ND or DN boundary conditions (i.e an open string stretched between a Dp -brane and a $D(p+4)$ -brane). Thus the ground state forms a four-dimensional representation of Clifford algebra in four dimensions. If there are N_1 D-branes corresponding to P_0 and N_2 D-branes corresponding to P_π then, after labelling each end point, the states of this string appear in the bi-fundamental representation of $U(N_1) \times U(N_2)$. Since the states are not in the adjoint representation, they must form a hyper multiplet, dimensionally reduced to the intersection of the D-branes [11]. The four states that we obtain from quantising this string are not CPT invariant. To cure this we note that these open strings are unorientated and so we must also include strings with P_π and P_0 applied at the end points $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$ respectively. This adds another copy of the above states, resulting in a CPT invariant mutliplet.

Let us suspend this D-brane configuration between two NS-fivebranes. We must then include the effect of (16) on the zero-modes. In general this leaves two non-vanishing zero modes on $|0 : l >$ giving a Wess-Zumino multiplet. After including the CPT conjugate states we therefore obtain two bosons and two fermions. An

example of such a configuration is to chose $P_0 = \gamma^{0123456}$, $P_\pi = \gamma^{0123678}$ and rather than adding NS-fivebranes we compactify x^6 on a the orbifold $S^1/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong [0, 1]$. This corresponds to two D-sixbranes intersecting over a four-brane preserving $N = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} D6 : & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ D6 : & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{array}$$

where $x^6 \in [0, 1]$. After compactification the surviving supersymmetries satisfy $\gamma^6 \epsilon = \epsilon$. In terms of the chiral components this gives $\epsilon^1 = \gamma^6 \epsilon^2 = \gamma^{012345} \epsilon^1$. This is the same projector as (9) and therefore we find the same constraint (16) on S_0^1 . The ground state forms a chiral four-dimensional $N = 1$ Wess-Zumino multiplet in the bi-fundamental of the two D-sixbrane gauge groups.

Finally, an interesting degenerate case occurs if $P_0^{-1} P_\pi S_0^1 = -P_{NS} S_0^1$ then, since $P_{NS} S_0^1 = -P_0^{-1} P_\pi S_0^1 = -S_0^1$, we find $S_0^1 | 0 : l \rangle = 0$. Thus there is no spacetime supersymmetry in such a string and the only surviving massless modes are the lowest helicity components of the ground state. Since the ground state is a hyper multiplet this gives two helicity $-\frac{1}{2}$ fermions. To be specific one could take $P_0 = \gamma^{01236}$, $P_\pi = \gamma^{01346}$ and $P_{NS} = \gamma^{012345}$ which can be pictured as

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} NS5 : & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ D4 : & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & & 6 \\ D4 : & 0 & 1 & & & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{array}$$

i.e. two D-fourbranes intersecting over a twobrane and suspended between two NS-fivebranes. After performing the dimensional reduction induced by the NS-fivebranes one finds two chiral fermions in the resulting two-dimensional theory.

Clearly there are other possibilities which we have not analysed here. For example one could add more NS-fivebranes, as in brane box constructions, dimensionally reducing additional directions of a brane configuration.

REFERENCES

1. J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724, hep-th/9510017
2. M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **136B** (1984) 367.
3. M.B. Green and M. Gutperle, Nucl. Phys. **B476** (1996) 484, hep-th/9604091
4. K. Ezawa, Y. Matsuo and K. Murakami, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 5118 (1998), hep-th/9707200.
5. J. Dai, R.G. Leigh and J. Polchinski, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2073.
6. A. Hanany and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B492** (1997) 152, hep-th/9611230.
7. L. Brink and H.B. Nelson, Phys. Lett. **B45** (1973) 332.
8. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 335, hep-th/9510135.
9. M.B. Green, Phys. Lett. **B329** (1994) 435, hep-th/9403040.
10. J. Polchinski and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 525, hep-th/9510169.
11. M. Bershadsky, V. Sadov and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. **B463** (1996) 398, hep-th/9510225.